ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN (SBN 210370)
OMNIA LEGAL, INC.
124 W STOCKER ST STE B
GLENDALE, CA 91202
T. 818-532-9339
F. 818-394-6452
EMAIL: DISPUTES@OMNIALEGAL.ORG

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

CLEAK US FREE COURTER ALEST OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BAGRAT BALASANYAN, an individual, Plaintiff,

VS.

DEPARTMENT STORES NATIONAL

BANK, A South Dakota Corporation

CAPITAL ONE N.A., A National Association

CHASE BANK USA N.A., A National

14 | Association

1.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CITIBANK N.A., A National Association

CREDIT ONE BANK, A Nevada Corporation

EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC., A

Delaware Limited Liability Company

HSBC BANK USA N.A., A National

Association

MERRICK BANK CORPORATION, A Utah

|| Corporation

UNIONBANCAL CORPORATION, A

California Corporation

VION HOLDINGS, LLC., A Delaware

Limited Liability Company

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:

- 1) VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT:
- 2) VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT;
- VIOLASATIONS OF FEDERAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT;
- 4) VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT:
- 5) DECLARATORY RELIEF.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

This action is brought by Plaintiff BAGRAT BALASANYAN ("Plaintiff") by and through his attorney Arshak Bartoumian against all named Defendants for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act [15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq.] ("FCRA") and California's Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act [California Civil Code §1785.2 et seq.] ("CCRAA"), and for violations of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act [15 U.S.C. §1692-1692p] ("FDCPA"),

ġ

California's Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act [California Civil Code §1788 et seq.] ("RFDCPA") against select Defendants.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FCRA to establish consumer rights to privacy over their credit and financial information and to ensure the "[a]ccuracy and fairness of credit reporting." FCRA provides several protections for consumers, including but not limited to the right to be notified of any negative/unfavorable information reported in their name and the right to dispute inaccurate, outdated and/or incomplete information on their credit file.
- 2. FCRA regulates credit reporting agencies as well as creditors, collection agencies and other parties who provide information to credit reporting agencies and/or obtain and use the consumer credit reports. FCRA Section 623, 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2, imposes obligations on furnishers of information to the credit reporting agencies. Furnishers must report accurate information, correct and update erroneous information, and provide certain notices to consumer pertaining to furnished information.
- 3. CCRAA was implemented to protect the credit information of California consumers. CCRAA also regulates consumer credit reporting agencies and furnishers of information with respect to personal, credit and other financial information submitted and maintained in their credit file. CCRAA in California Civil Code §1785.25-1785.26 refrains furnishers of information from reporting information that they know or should have known was erroneous, and obligates furnishers to cease credit reporting of information disputed by consumers without notice of such dispute.
- 4. CCRAA provides consumers with the right to be informed of negative credit reporting and the right to dispute information in their credit reports, which they believe is incomplete and/or inaccurate. Consumers also have the right to bring civil action against violators of any provision of the CCRAA with respect to their rights and their credit, and to seek monetary damages. California Civil Code §1785.19 and §1785.31. The FDCPA regulates the behavior of collection agencies attempting to collect a debt on behalf of another. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive,

-

deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to a number of personal bankruptcies, marital instability, loss of jobs, and invasions of individual privacy. Congress enacted the FDCPA to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to ensure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote uniform State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses [15 U.S.C. §1692(a)-(e)].

- 5. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute, which provides for actual or statutory damages upon the showing of one violation. The Ninth Circuit has held that whether a debt collector's conduct violates the FDCPA should be judged from the standpoint of the "least sophisticated" consumer. [Baker v. G.C. Services Corp., 677 F.2d 775, 778 (9th Cir. 1982); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc. 869 F.2d 1222, 1227(9th Cir.1988)]. This objective standard "ensure[s] that the FDCPA protects all consumers, the gullible as well as the shrewd ... the ignorant, the unthinking and the credulous." [Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F.2d 1314, 1318-19 (2nd Cir. 1993)].
- 6. To prohibit deceptive practices the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. §1692e, outlaws the use of false, deceptive, and misleading collection letters and names a non-exhaustive list of certain per se violations of false and deceptive collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. §1692e(1)-(16).
- 7. To prohibit harassment and abuses by debt collectors the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. §1692d, provides that a debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt and names a non-exhaustive list of certain per se violations of harassing and abusive collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. §1692d(1)-(6). Among these per se violations prohibited by that section are: any collection activities and the placement of telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity [15 U.S.C. §1692d(6)]
- 8. The FDCPA also prohibits, at 15 U.S.C. §1692c, without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector, or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, or as reasonably necessary to effectuate a post judgment judicial remedy, communication by a debt collector in connection with the collection of any debt,

 with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector.

9. The RFDCPA regulates collection agencies and original creditors attempting to collect debts on their own behalf. The California legislature has determined that the banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise their responsibility with fairness, honesty, and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1681p, California Civil Code §1785.33, and 28 U.S.C. §1337.
 - 11. Supplemental jurisdiction rests upon 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 12. Venue is proper in this United States District Court, Central District of California because Defendants' violations alleged below occurred in the County of Los Angeles, State of California and within this District.

II. PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION

13. FCRA Sections 616 and 617, 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o, create private right of action consumers can bring against violators of any provision of the FCRA with regards to their credit. In DiMezza v. First USA Bank, Inc., supra, the court confirmed that "[...] the plain language of [CRA Sections 616 and 617, 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o] provide a private right of action for a consumer against furnishers of information who have willfully or negligently failed to perform their duties upon notice of a dispute. [...] there is a private right of action for consumers to enforce the investigation and reporting duties

imposed on furnishers of information."

- 14. Gorman v. MBNA America Bank, N.A., No. 06-17226 further established Private Remedy Against Furnishers by consumers and FCRA Section 1681s-2(b) triggers Defendants' furnisher's liability under this section, since Plaintiff made his initial disputes with the credit reporting agencies.
- 15. Cal. Civ. Code §1785.15(f) expressly states that consumers "have a right to bring civil action against anyone [...], who improperly obtains access to a file, knowingly or willfully misuses file data, or fails to correct inaccurate file data" concerning a consumer's credit report. Plaintiff has further right to action pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(a), which states that Plaintiff as "any consumer who suffers damages as a result of a violation of this title by any person may bring an action in a court of appropriate jurisdiction against that person to recover the following."
- 16. Sanai v. Saltz, et al., 2009 Cal. App. LEXIS 83 (Cal. App. 2d Dist. Jan. 26, 2009) established that consumers may replead their FCRA claims as violations of the CCRAA and that the state claims are not preempted by FCRA. In further support, courts have uniformly rejected creditors' and consumer reporting agencies' arguments that the FCRA bars state law claims. See Sehl v. Safari Motor Coaches, Inc., 2001 U.S. Dist. Lexis 12638 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Cal. 2001)(for detailed discussion); Harper v. TRW, 881F. Supp. 294 (U.S.D.C. S.D. Mich. 1995); Rule v. Ford Receivables, 36 F. Supp.2d 335 (U.S.D.C. S.D. Va. 1999); Watkins v. Trans Union, 118 F. Supp.2d 1217 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Ala. 2000); Swecker v. Trans Union, 31 F. Supp.2d 536 (U.S.D.C. E.D. Va. 1998); Saia v. Universal Card Svc., 2000 U.S.Dist.Lexis 9494, 2000 Westlaw 863979 (U.S.D.C. E.D. La. 2000); Sherron v. Private Issue by Discover, 977 F. Supp.2d 804 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Miss. 1997); Hughes v. Fidelity Bank, 709 F. Supp.2d 639 (U.S.D.C. E.D. Pa. 1989).
- 17. 15 U.S.C §1692k(a) states that "... any debt collector who fails to comply with any provision of this title with respect to any person is liable to such person in an amount equal to the sum of-."
- 18. Cal. Civ. Code §1788.30(a) states that "any debt collector who violates this title with respect to any debtor shall be liable to that debtor only in an individual action..."

III. THE PARTIES

12

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

25

24

26 27

28

- 19. Plaintiff BAGRAT BALASANYAN is a natural person residing in Los Angeles County, State of California.
- 20. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a (c) and California Civil Code §1785.3(b).
- 21. Defendant DEPARTMENT STORES NATIONAL BANK ("DSNB") is a South Dakota Corporation.
 - 22. Defendant CAPITAL ONE N.A. ("CAPITAL") is a National Association.
 - 23. Defendant CHASE BANK USA N.A. ("CHASE") is a National Association.
 - 24. Defendant CITIBANK N.A. ("CITI") is a National Association.
 - 25. Defendant CREDIT ONE BANK ("ONE") is a Nevada Corporation.
- 26. Defendant EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC ("EQUABLE") is a Delaware Limited Liability Company.
 - 27. Defendant HSBC BANK USA N.A. ("HSBC") is a National Association.
- 28. Defendant MERRICK BANK CORPORATION ("MERRICK") is a Utah Corporation.
- 29. Defendant UNIONBANCAL CORPORATION ("UNION") is a California Corporation.
- 30. Defendant VION HOLDINGS, LLC ("VION") is a Delaware Limited Liability Company.
- 31. Defendants and each one of them is a person as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1681a (b) and California Civil Code §1785.3(j).
- 32. Defendants and each one of them is a furnisher of information within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §1681-s2.
- 33. Defendants are each a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1692a (6) and California Civil Code §1788.2(c).
- 34. Defendants acted through their agents, employees, directors, contractors and other authorized parties acting within the course and scope of their employment or agency and under the direct supervision and control of each respective Defendant.

IV. FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

16 . 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

35. In or around September 02, 2011 Plaintiff, who is not a minor, checked his credit report from the three national credit reporting agencies, Experian, Equifax and Transunion (collectively "CRAs"), and discovered multiple credit accounts reported by Defendants DSNB (Account#210364404...), **CAPITAL** (Account#517805728323...), CHASE (Account#41858601020... & 541657035661...) CITI (Account#601164420296...), ONE (Account#444796111774...), EQUABLE (Account#458...), HSBC (Account#2026550900063...), MERRICK (Account#412061306192...), UNION (Account#131007..) and VION (Account#54...) in negative status. These credit accounts were unfamiliar to Plaintiff, as he did not recall ever opening them and he was never informed by the furnishers of their negative credit reporting.

- 36. On or about September 02, 2011 Plaintiff contacted the CRAs and disputed the unknown credit accounts reported by the Defendants identified in paragraph 29, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b). On or about September 08, 2011, following his credit bureau disputes, Plaintiff sent letters to these Defendants, in which he requested documentation substantiating the existence, ownership and accuracy of the accounts reported by each of them, otherwise their immediate and permanent deletion from his credit file.
- 37. Upon receipt of Plaintiff's disputes, Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION, each continued to report the disputed accounts to the CRAs, without notice that the accounts had been disputed by Plaintiff, all throughout the investigation period and thereafter. They further failed to properly address Plaintiff's direct disputes with them and failed to provide for his requested verification for the disputed accounts.
- 38. On September 28, 2011 and November 17, 2011 Plaintiff followed up with Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION and each one of them on multiple occasions, resubmitting his requests and demanding deletion of the unverified accounts due to lack of verification, but each of his correspondences went unanswered or were improperly handled and responded to.
- 39. In or about September, 2011 Plaintiff consulted with attorneys and credit professionals and retained an agent to communicate with Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION on his behalf regarding his

 disputes. At the time, during a credit review of his updated credit records, Plaintiff also learned that Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION had reported a charged off accounts to his credit file, without prior notice of the debts or of the negative credit reporting.

- 40. In or around September 02, 2011 Plaintiff contacted the CRAs with dispute of the accounts reported by DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION as not his, since he did not agree with their alleged debts. Following his submission of a credit dispute of the DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION, Plaintiff contacted Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION through his agent with a Power of Attorney and also sent in a debt/account verification/validation to DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION. Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION failed to answer to Plaintiff's debt/account verification/validation requests, failed to cease collection activity and credit reporting upon receipt of Plaintiff's dispute, and failed to inform the CRAs that the accounts were in dispute.
- 41. Plaintiff sent multiple further follow ups to Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION, both directly from his and through his agent, but all of his correspondences proved unsuccessful in attaining Defendants' cooperation.
- 42. Regardless of Plaintiff's attempts to seek Defendants' cooperation, Defendants and each one of them continuously failed to answer back to Plaintiff with appropriate proof of investigation and verification of the information in dispute and failed to take corrective action.
- 43. To date Defendants continue to report the unverified accounts on Plaintiff's credit records.
- 44. The inaccurate accounts negatively reflect upon Plaintiff as a consumer, borrower/debtor and they negatively impact his creditworthiness and financial standing.
- 45. Plaintiff has suffered financial and emotional distress as a result of Defendants' violations, which include but are not limited by the following:
- a. Actual damages arising from monetary losses relating to denials to new credit, loss of use of funds, loss of credit and loan opportunities, excessive and/or elevated interest rate and finance charges;

- b. Out of pocket expenses associated with communicating with Defendants, disputing the credit information, and fees paid to attorneys and credit professionals for the assistance attained in the process;
- c. Emotional distress and mental anguish associated with having derogatory credit information transmitted about Plaintiff to other people both known and unknown;
- d. Decreased credit score and creditworthiness, which may result in inability to obtain credit, employment or housing on future attempts.

COUNT I

Violations of FCRA

Against All Defendants

- 46. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference the above paragraphs.
- 47. Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION knowingly and willfully violated the FCRA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to the following:
- a. Furnishing inaccurate/unverified information to the CRAs in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a);
- b. Failing to inform Plaintiff about negative credit reporting made on his credit report, prior to or within five (5) days of furnishing a collection account to the CRAs, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a)(7)(A);
- c. Failing to conduct proper investigation of disputed information upon receipt of Plaintiff's dispute in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a)(8)(E);
- d. Verifying disputed accounts with the CRAs prior to conducting thorough investigation and without having substantiating support for such verification;
- e. Reporting disputed information to the CRAs, without notice of Plaintiff's dispute, during the investigation period and prior to providing verification of accuracy in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 (a)(3);
- f. Continuing to report unverified information to the CRAs after lack of response and verification;

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

. 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

COUNT II

Violations of CCRAA

Against All Defendants

- 48. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference the above paragraphs.
- 49. Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION knowingly and willfully violated CCRAA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to the following:
- a. Submitting negative credit information to Plaintiff's credit report with the CRAs, without notifying the Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §1785.26(b);
- b. Continuing to report the account to the CRAs, upon receipt of Plaintiff's dispute and during the investigation period, without notice that the account has been disputed by Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §1785.25(c);
- c. Failing to acknowledge Plaintiff's demand for investigation and correction of information furnished to the CRAs, following Plaintiff's receipt of CRAs' reinvestigation results in violation of California Civil Code §1785.30;

COUNT III

Violations of FDCPA

Against Defendants

- 50. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference the above paragraphs.
- 51. Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION knowingly and willfully violated the FDCPA by:
- a) Failing to provide dunning notice of debt and a written notice of Plaintiff's right to request validation of debt along with information about the alleged debt, including the name and contact information of the original creditor pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a);
- b) Failing to cease collection activity and continuing to make collection efforts on the alleged debt without properly responding to Plaintiff's validation request pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(b);
 - c) Failing to properly validate the alleged debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(b);

- d) Using false representations and deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e;
- e) Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692f.

COUNT IV

Violations of RFDCPA

Against Defendants

- 52. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference the above paragraphs.
- 53. Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION knowingly and willfully violated RFDCPA by:
- a) Using false representations and deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect debts pursuant to Civil Code §1788.17;
- b) Using unfair and/or unconscionable means to collect alleged debts pursuant to California Civil Code §1788.17.

COUNT V

Declaratory Relief

Against All Defendants

- 54. Plaintiff repeats and reincorporates by reference the above paragraphs.
- 55. Plaintiff requests judgment in his favor and against the Defendants for:
- 1. Actual damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(1)(A) and/or Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(a)(2)(A) against all Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION; and per 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1) and/or Civil Code §1788.30(a) against Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION, inclusive.
- 2. Statutory damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(1)(A) and/or Cal. Civ. Code §1785.19(a) against all Defendants; and per 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2) and/or Civil Code

§1788.30(b) against Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION, inclusive.

- 3. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (c) and/or Code of Civil Procedure §490.020 against all Defendants; and 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3), and/or Civil Code §1788.30(c) against Defendants DSNB, CAPITAL, CHASE, CITI, ONE, EQUABLE, HSBC, MERRICK and VION, inclusive.
- 4. Punitive damages, per 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(2) and Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(a)(2)(B), as the court may allow.
- 5. Injunctive relief, per Cal. Civ. Code §1785.31(b), ordering Defendants to delete the reporting of the unverified accounts.
- 6. Declaratory relief, which is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201 and §2202.
- 7. Any other relief as this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

DATED: September 04, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN,

Attorney for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION

I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action. I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The same is true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe it to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: September 04, 2012

BAGRAT BALASANYAN, Plaintiff

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES- 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIEES (Charles				CAVILLEGYE	K SHEE			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself []) BAGRAT BALASANYAN					DEFENDANTS DEPARTMENT STORES NATIONAL BANK, et al						
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Adrece	and Talanhana Number 14	<u> </u>					<u> </u>	·		
yourself, provide same.)				representing	Attorneys	(If Known)					
ARSHAK BARTOUMI OMNIA LEGAL, INC 124 W STOCKER ST S											
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)				III. CITIZENS	HIP OF	PRINCIPAL PAR	TIES -	For Diversity Case	s Only		······································
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				(0110 01		F DEF			TD.	TF DEF
		Government Not a Part	у)	Citizen of This S	tate	<u> </u>		Incorporated or l of Business in th			1 F DEF 34 □4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defenda	nt 🗆	4 Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	zenship	Citizen of Anothe	er State		□ 2	Incorporated and of Business in A			J5 🗆 5
				Citizen or Subjec	t of a For	eign Country 🗆 3	□3	Foreign Nation			36 □6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in o											
If 1 Original Proceeding □ 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): □ 6 Multipation □ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Litigation											
V. REQUESTED IN COMPI	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: 🗹	Yes □	No (Check 'Yes'	only if de	manded in compla	int.)	2	,	1174EISH	ate Judge
CLASS ACTION under F.R.								AINT: \$ Not Yet	Asserted	1	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Ci	te the U	S. Civil Statute under whi	ich you a								ity)
15 U.S.C. SEC. 1681, CA	L CIV (CODE 1785								1000 0170101	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Pla	ce an X	in one box only.)									
OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment		CONTRACT Insurance	PEF	TÖRTS I - SGNAL INTURY		TORTS :: PERSONAL		PRISONER PETITIONS		LABOR Fair Labor	
☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		Marine Miller Act		Airplane Airplane Product	***************************************	PROPERTY		Motions to	1	Act	
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	□ 140	Negotiable Instrument	1	Liability	□ 371	Other Fraud Truth in Lending	ŀ	Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus		Labor/Mgr Relations	nt.
Rates/etc.	□ 150	Recovery of Overpayment &	LJ 320	Assault, Libel & Slander	□ 380	Other Personal		General	□ 730 ː	Labor/Mgr	
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced		Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employers'	□ 385	Property Damage Property Damage				Reporting Disclosure	
and Corrupt Organizations	D 151	Judgment	□ 340	Liability Marine		Product Liability	ŀ	Other	□ 740	Railway La	abor Act
480 Consumer Credit		Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted		Marine Product	B □ 422	ANKRUPTCY Anneal 28 USC	□ 550 □ 555	Civil Rights Prison Condition		Other Labo	or
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV		Student Loan (Excl.	ITI 350	Liability Motor Vehicle	722	158	1 333	Prison Condition		Litigation Empl. Ret.	Inc.
 □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ 	152	Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	□ 423	Withdrawal 28		PENALTY		Security A	ct
Exchange	133	Overpayment of	D 260	Product Liability	6	USC 157 IVAL RIGHTS		Agriculture Other Food &		PERTYR	
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12		Veteran's Benefits	LD 200	Other Personal Injury	vevening (N/N/N/N	Voting	020	Drug	□ 830 I	Copyrights Patent	.
USC 3410 ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions		Stockholders' Suits Other Contract	□ 362	Personal Injury-		Employment	□ 62 5	Drug Related	□ 840 '	Trademark	
□ 891 Agricultural Act		Contract Product	□ 365	Med Malpractice Personal Injury-	LJ 443	Housing/Acco- mmodations		Seizure of Property 21 USC		TAP SECT HIA (1395	
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization		Liability	303	Product Liability	1 444	Welfare		881		Black Lung	
Act ☐ 893 Environmental Matters		Franchise REAL PROPERTY	□ 368	Asbestos Personal	□ 445	American with		Liquor Laws		DIWC/DIV	ŃW
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act		Land Condemnation		Injury Product Liability	1	Disabilities - Employment		R.R. & Truck Airline Regs		(405(g)) SSID Title	323.77
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	□ 220	Foreclosure		MUGRÁTION :	₩ 🗆 446	American with		Occupational		RSI (405(g	
900 Appeal of Fee Determi-		Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 462			Disabilities -		Safety /Health		RALTAX	
nation Under Equal Access to Justice	1	Torts to Land Tort Product Liability	□ 463	Application Habeas Corpus-	TI 440	Other Other Civil	690	Other	1	Taxes (U.S	
☐ 950 Constitutionality of		All Other Real Property		Alien Detainee	1	Rights				or Defenda IRS-Third	
State Statutes			□ 465	Other Immigration Actions	·					USC 7609	
	L	^	100 0			<u> </u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>		
		<u> </u>			E S						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case N	umber:							,		

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: H	Has this action been p	reviously filed in this court a	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ≝No □ Yes					
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: He If yes, list case number(s):	ave any cases been pr	eviously filed in this court the	at are related to the present case? INO INO IN Yes					
IX. VENUE: (When completing to	 A. Arise from the sam Call for determinat For other reasons w Involve the same p he following informat 	e or closely related transactic ion of the same or substantial yould entail substantial duplic atent, trademark or copyright tion, use an additional sheet i	Ily related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present. If necessary.)					
Check here it the government	, its agencies or emplo	byces is a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).					
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
LOS ANGELES			VARIOUS					
Check here if the government,	t; California County of its agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State i	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).					
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
LOS ANGELES			VARIOUS					
(c) List the County in this District Note: In land condemnation	; California County o cases, use the location	utside of this District; State i on of the tract of land involv	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.					
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country					
LOS ANGELES			VARIOUS					
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Berna Note: In land condemnation cases, 1	ardino, Riverside, Vouse the location of the	tract of land involved //	Market					
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY	(OR PRO PER):	Mal &	Water 09/04/2012					
or outer papers as required by is	iw. Inis form, approv	ed by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)					
Key to Statistical codes relating to S	Social Security Cases:							
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action					
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))						
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)						
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))						
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.						
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))						

CV-71 (05/08)

ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN (SBN 210370) OMNIA LEGAL, INC TEL. 818-532-9339 124 W STOCKER ST STE B GLENDALE CA 91202 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BAGRAT BALASANYAN, an individual CASE NUMBER PLAINTIFF(S) CV12-07589s DEPARTMENT STORES NATIONAL BANK, A South Dakota Corporation et al **SUMMONS** (see attached for additional defendants) DEFENDANT(S). TO: DEFENDANT(S): BAGRAT BALASANYAN (See attached for additional Defendants) A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within __21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of complaint amended complaint □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN, whose address is 124 W STOCKER ST STE B, GLENDALE, CA 91202 . If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. SEP - 5 2012 Clerk, U.S. District Court **JULIE PRADO** Dated: ____ Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court) [Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

ARSHAK BARTOUMIAN (SBN 210370) 1 OMNIA LEGAL, INC. 124 W STOCKER ST STE B 2 GLENDALE, CA 91202 3 T. 818-532-9339 F. 818-394-6452 EMAIL: DISPUTES@OMNIALEGAL.ORG 5 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 BAGRAT BALASANYAN, an individual, 10 Plaintiff. 11 DEPARTMENT STORES NATIONAL 12 BANK, A South Dakota Corporation CAPITAL ONE N.A., A National Association 13. CHASE BANK USA N.A., A National Association CITIBANK N.A., A National Association CREDIT ONE BANK, A Nevada Corporation 15 EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC., A Delaware Limited Liability Company HSBC BANK USA N.A., A National 17 Association MERRICK BANK CORPORATION, A Utah Corporation 19

UNIONBANCAL CORPORATION, A

VION HOLDINGS, LLC., A Delaware

Defendants.

California Corporation

Limited Liability Company

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CASE NO.:

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:

- 1) VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT:
- 2) VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT:
- 3) VIOLASATIONS OF FEDERAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT:
- 4) VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT:
- 5) DECLARATORY RELIEF.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

This action is brought by Plaintiff BAGRAT BALASANYAN ("Plaintiff") by and through his attorney Arshak Bartoumian against all named Defendants for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act [15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq.] ("FCRA") and California's Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act [California Civil Code §1785.2 et seq.] ("CCRAA"), and for violations of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act [15 U.S.C. §1692-1692p] ("FDCPA"),

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge S. James Otero and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Charles Eick.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 7589 SJO (Ex)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

___ Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.